

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/659,885 | 09/12/2000 | | Paolo Menegoli | 850063.498D2 | 2853 |
| 30423 | 7590 | 12/17/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | ONICS, INC. | LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN | | |
| MAIL STATION 2346 1310 ELECTRONICS DRIVE | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CARROLLTON, TX 75006 | | | | 2811 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| č 💮 | | | AX | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No | . pplic | ant(s) | | | | | |
| | 09/659,885 | MENE | GOLI, PAOLO | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Un | it | | | | | |
| | Steven Loke | 2811 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | N. 1.136(a). In no event, how reply within the statutory mi od will apply and will expire tute, cause the application | ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be co SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. | nsidered timely. g date of this communication. C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 | October 2003. | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th | nis action is non-fina | al. | | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parté Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-25</u> is/are pending in the applicat | Claim(s) <u>17-25</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-25</u> is/are allowed. | i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-25</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | I/or election require | ment. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a li | | | and the House Brokens | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. | first sentence of the | e specification or in an A | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific | | | | | | | | |
| reference was included in the first sentence of | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Interview Summary (PTO-413 | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | Notice of Informal Patent App Other: | lication (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/659,885

Art Unit: 2811

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should disclose the method of operating a DMOS device instead of the structure of a DMOS device.
 Correction is required.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: A method of operating a vertical DMOS transistor with schottky diode body structure.

- 3. Claims 17-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 17, line 3, the word "conductivity," is unclear whether it is being referred to "conductivity"; lines 2, 5, 7, 11, the word "drain" is unclear whether it is being referred to "drain region"; lines 4, 5, 6, 7, the word "body" is unclear whether it is being referred to "body region"; lines 5, 6, 7, the word "source" is unclear whether it is being referred to "source region". Claim 17, line 11, the phrase "a drain" is unclear whether it is being referred to the "drain" of claim 17, line 2. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. This application is in condition for allowance except for the above formal matters.

 Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex*parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

5. Claims 21-25 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/659,885

Art Unit: 2811

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (703) 308-4920. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:50 am to 5:20 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

sl

December 13, 2003

Stoven Lette Primary Examinar